

Assessment Regulations

Taught Masters Level Awards

Approved: 6 July 2016, minor amendments 12 July 2017, 11 July 2018

Document Title: Assessment Regulations – Taught Masters Level Awards			
Version No.	1.2	Author Role Title	Quality Manager (RFS)
Superseded version	September 2017		
Approval Date	11 July 2018	Approved by	Academic Board
Effective Date	24 September 2018	Review Date	Annual

Contents

1. Introduction	1	4.6 Module Failure after Reassessment	18
2. Application of the Regulations	1	4.7 Substitution of Failed Modules	19
2.1 Status and Scope	1	5. Eligibility for Award	19
2.2 Variance from the Assessment Regulations	3	5.1 General Provisions	19
3. General Provisions	3	5.2 Eligibility for the Award of Masters Degree (MA/MSc)	19
3.1 Framework for Academic Awards	3	5.3 Eligibility for the Award of Postgraduate Diploma (PgDip)	20
3.2. Responsibilities	4	5.4 Eligibility for the Award of Postgraduate Certificate (PgCert)	20
3.3 Definition of Attempt	6	5.5 Eligibility for the Award of Postgraduate Certificate in Education (PgCE/PGCE)	21
3.4 Studying Additional Non-Contributory Modules	6	5.6 Eligibility for the Award of University Certificate in Postgraduate Professional Development (UCPPD)	21
3.5 Recognition of Prior Learning	7	5.7 Eligibility for the Award of University Certificate in Postgraduate Continuing Education (UCPCE)	21
3.6 Ethical Compliance	7	5.8 Compensation of Failed Module(s)	22
3.7 Academic Misconduct	7	6. Grading of Awards	23
3.8 Conduct in Examinations	7	6.1 General Provisions	23
3.9 Extenuating Circumstances	7	6.2 Grading of Masters Degrees (MA/MSc)	23
3.10 Penalties for the Late Submission of Work	8	6.3 Grading of Postgraduate Diplomas (PgDip)	23
3.11 Release of Unratified Marks	8	6.4 Grading of Postgraduate Certificates (PgCert/PgCE/PGCE)	24
3.12 Notification of Assessment Results	8	6.5 Grading of University Certificates in Postgraduate Professional Development (UCPPD)	24
3.13 Language of Instruction and Assessment	10	6.6 Grading of University Certificates in Postgraduate Continuing Education (UCPCE)	24
3.14 Intermediate Awards	10		
3.15 Maximum Period of Registration	11		
3.16 Withdrawal from a Course	11		
3.17 Posthumous Awards	11		
3.18 Aegrotat Awards	12		
3.19 Revocation of Awards	12		
3.20 Right to Academic Appeal	13		
4. The Assessment of Students	13		
4.1 The Purpose of Assessment	13		
4.2 The Role of Academic Judgement	13		
4.3 Assessment Boards	14		
4.4 Module Assessment	15		
4.5 Module Reassessment	15		

Assessment Regulations for Teesside University Taught Masters Level Awards

1. Introduction

1.1 This edition of the Assessment Regulations for Taught Masters Awards supersedes previous versions and applies to all students enrolled on Teesside University Taught Master Awards from 17 September 2018¹.

1.2 The Regulations are supplemented by two other documents: the *Glossary*, which defines the key terms used in the Regulations; and the *Variance Register* which details all approved variances from the Regulations.

1.3 Both staff and students are expected to be familiar with the Regulations. Sources of support for students in understanding the Regulations are published in Student Handbooks. Students may also seek independent advice from the Students' Union. Staff can seek support by contacting the Academic Registry.

2. Application of the Regulations

2.1 Status and Scope

2.1.1 Standard Regulations: These Regulations are the standard regulations for all Teesside University's Taught Masters Awards regardless of location or mode of delivery. They will apply in their entirety to all Level 7 awards and to all students undertaking those awards, unless variance has been approved by, or on behalf of, Academic Board (see Section 2.2).

2.1.2 Awards of the University Offered by Partner Institutions: Where other institutions deliver Teesside University awards under partnership and/or franchise arrangements, those institutions and awards are bound by these Regulations subject to any approved variance (see Section 2.2).

2.1.3 Discretion: In clearly specified circumstances, Assessment Boards have the power to exercise their discretion in the light of their academic judgement to ensure that students are treated equitably and fairly, that academic standards are maintained, and students are not disadvantaged by administrative or procedural irregularities beyond their control. In exercising discretion, Assessment Boards must take account of the views of the external examiner(s) and should ensure that

¹ Students undertaking reassessment will be considered under the regulations in operation at the time they commenced on the module(s) being reassessed.

decisions are taken in the spirit of these Regulations to the benefit of students. Decisions reached as a result of the exercise of discretion must be minuted together with the reasons for the decision.

2.1.4 Guidance on Implementation: Other than the guidelines and procedures relating to approved variance, where guidance is issued which supplements these Regulations, the Regulations take precedence in the event of conflict.

2.1.5 Chair's Action: An Assessment Board may delegate its responsibilities to the respective Chair in relation to recommendations concerning an individual student, subject to the approval of the relevant external examiner(s).

Delegated responsibility should only be exercised in exceptional cases, for example:

- a) To correct errors and/or omissions in the assessment marks and/or module results presented to an Assessment Board.
- b) To reconsider a decision of the Board in light of a recommendation made by an Academic Appeal Committee following consideration of the evidence relating to an Academic Appeal Application.
- c) To instigate the Irregular Results procedure or otherwise ensure students are considered justly and consistently.
- d) To recommend conferment of an award in light of the above.
- e) To consider module results and/or the conferment of an award for a very small number of students where it is not practical to reconvene a Module and/or Progression and Awards Board.

2.1.6 Authority: The regulations in force at any time shall be those published on the Student Regulations section of the University Website unless otherwise specified (see Section 2.1.8). Students who register for an award part-way through a year of study, or resume study after a period of interruption, will be governed by the regulations in force at the time of such registration or resumption.

2.1.7 Review and Approval of Regulations: The Regulations are reviewed periodically to reflect changing institutional agendas and for the purpose of editorial amendment. This review process is informed by feedback from staff, students, external examiners, and, where appropriate, other agents external to the University. The Regulations pertaining to a semester will be published in advance of its commencement.

2.1.8 Changes to the Regulations: While the University reserves the right to amend the Regulations, changes will not normally be implemented within a year of study. In exceptional cases (for example, following major review and modification) amendment to the Regulations may result in different cohorts of students registered for the same award being considered under different Regulations. Students will be

notified in such cases and every effort will be made to ensure no cohort is unduly disadvantaged.

2.2 Variance from the Assessment Regulations

2.2.1 Approval: Variance to these Regulations will normally only be approved to meet specified requirements or expectations of Professional, Statutory and Regulatory Bodies (PSRBs) or other such external bodies that accredit awards of the University. Such variance must always be approved by, or on behalf of, Academic Board and recorded in the Variance Register.

2.2.2 Definitions: Variance from the Assessment Regulations will be of two kinds: a) Module Variance; and b) Course Variance:

a) Module Variance is approved on behalf of Academic Board. It is sought from the relevant School Committee or course approval panel and only relates to module-specific regulations (for example, module-specific variance to the standard pass criteria). It is approved as part of the module approval process and must always be reported to the relevant University Committee (SLEC) for the purposes of oversight and accuracy of the Variance Register.

b) Course Variance is approved on behalf of Academic Board. It is sought from the relevant University Committee (SLEC). It will normally relate to course-wide regulations (for example, standard progression profiles/criteria, and the grading of awards). Course variance is agreed in principle at course approval or by the relevant School Committee but must always be approved by the relevant University Committee for the purposes of oversight and accuracy of the Variance Register.

3. General Provisions

3.1 Framework for Academic Awards

3.1.1 Credit Framework

All Taught Masters Awards consist of modules. Each module has its own learning outcomes and is designed, delivered, and assessed at an academic level consistent with those outcomes. Credit is awarded for achievement of the specified learning outcomes of the module. Credit is accumulated sequentially, by level, until the credit requirements for the award are met. All awards offered by the University comply with the credit requirements of the national frameworks which identify and articulate typical characteristics of HE awards. These requirements, as well as more

information about the operation of credit, can be found in the University's *Credit Accumulation and Modular Scheme*²

3.1.2 Assessment and Feedback Policy

Assessment not only enables students to demonstrate they have achieved the learning outcomes; it also promotes high-level learning. Feedback is an important part of the process which enables students to improve their learning. The University's Assessment and Feedback Policy provides a framework for effective, appropriate and fair assessment practice that promotes learning.³

3.1.3 Other Relevant Documentation

The Regulations are paramount in decision-making relating to matters of student progression, achievement, and grading. However, in addition to these Regulations, the University operates a number of other institutional policies and procedures which variously inform, support, or structure student learning. Where these other policies and procedures bear on these Regulations reference is made to the full document.

3.2. Responsibilities

3.2.1 Responsibilities of the University:

3.2.1.1 Assessment and Regulatory Literacy

Regulations and policies should be understood by staff and students and should not be a barrier to effective assessment practice and high-level student learning. In this respect, the University acknowledges that developing assessment and regulatory awareness is a paramount concern for both staff and students. Learning is most effective when students and staff share an understanding of academic and professional standards in an atmosphere of mutual trust. As such, the University will, over time, actively engage students in a mutual dialogue about assessment processes in order to establish a shared understanding of the meaning of academic standards and professional judgement.

² The *Credit Accumulation & Modular Scheme* is available here:
http://www.tees.ac.uk/sections/about/public_information/quality_handbook.cfm

³ The *Assessment and Feedback Policy* is available here:
http://www.tees.ac.uk/sections/about/public_information/quality_handbook.cfm

3.2.1.2 Information for Students

The University requires that students are made aware of, and have access to, the following information:

- i. The relevant assessment regulations governing their course of study;
- ii. The full details and requirements of their specific course of study;
- iii. The learning outcomes, assessment criteria, weightings and assessment strategy for each module;
- iv. The criteria relating to grading and marking schemes;
- v. The reassessment arrangements for their course of study;
- vi. The procedures for the submission of assignments, including the procedure and the penalties for late submission of summative assessments;
- vii. The grounds on which applications can be made for mitigating circumstances and/or extensions to deadlines for assessed work, and the procedures for doing so;
- viii. The mechanism used to communicate results and the date this will occur;
- ix. The grounds on which applications for academic appeal can be made and the procedures for making such an appeal;
- x. The *Regulations Relating to Academic Misconduct (Taught Components and Programmes)*;⁴

3.2.2 Responsibilities of Students:

The University is committed to providing students with the resources, opportunities, and the support required to become successful high-level learners. However, effective learning requires active personal engagement with these resources and purposeful participation in the learning opportunities provided. As such, students are expected to comply with the regulatory requirements of their course of study, engage with scheduled classes, and carry out the prescribed learning activities for the modules on which they are enrolled. In addition, it is the responsibility of students to:

- i. Ensure they are aware of examination dates and coursework submission dates;
- ii. Attempt all examinations and/or summative assessments (see Section 3.3);

⁴ The *Regulations Relating to Academic Misconduct (Taught Components and Programmes)* are available here: <https://unity3.tees.ac.uk/Departments/USEC/UniversityRegulations/University%20Regulations%20Documents/Forms/Academic%20Regulations.aspx>

- iii. Inform the University if they are unable to attend classes in accordance with the University's *Attendance Policy*;⁵
- iv. Notify the University through the proper procedures of any extenuating circumstances which may have affected their performance and which they wish to be taken into account (see Section 3.9).

3.3 Definition of Attempt

A module is deemed to have been attempted if a submission is made by the student for any component of summative assessment as defined in the Module Specification. No minimal mark needs to have been achieved in order for the submission to count as an attempt.

An assessment is deemed to have been attempted if a submission is made by the student in accordance with the procedures defined for submitting the assignment. This entails the formal recording on receipt of the assessed work as detailed in the University's *Regulations for the Submission of Assignments*.⁶

An examination is deemed to have been attempted if the student accurately completes the formal attendance card and submits at least one answer script with a properly completed front cover.

3.4 Studying Additional Non-Contributory Modules

3.4.1 Students who have gained sufficient credits to be conferred the award for which they enrolled may not study additional modules as a means of improving marks or grades.

3.4.3 Students wishing to study additional modules which do not form part of their primary course of study should make an application to the Dean of School who will consider the request on an individual basis. The decision of the Dean of School is final. The study of additional modules is subject to timetable and other resource constraints. Additional module credits do not contribute to the student's primary award or the grading of this award.

⁵ The *Attendance Policy* is available here:
<https://unity3.tees.ac.uk/Departments/USEC/UniversityRegulations/University%20Regulations%20Documents/Forms/Academic%20Regulations.aspx>

⁶ The *Regulations for the Submission of Assignments* is available here:
<http://www.tees.ac.uk/docs/DocRepo/student%20regulations/Academic%20Regulations/Submission%20of%20Assignments.pdf>

3.5 Recognition of Prior Learning

Students may be permitted to enrol onto courses with advanced standing through the University's [Recognition of Prior Learning \(RPL\) Policy](#) or through an approved articulation or progression route. Such prior learning may be certificated or experiential or a combination of both. In such cases, students are awarded credit for experience gained elsewhere. The approved processes by which entry with advanced standing may be permitted are available on the University website.

3.6 Ethical Compliance

Students are expected to consider the ethical implications of everything they say, write or otherwise communicate in their assessment tasks. In addition, where applicable, students are required to comply with appropriate ethical release/approval processes. These are defined in the *Policy, Procedures & Guidelines for Research Ethics*.⁷

3.7 Academic Misconduct

Students are expected to observe the University's regulations which define and proscribe cheating, plagiarism and other forms of academic misconduct.⁸

3.8 Conduct in Examinations

Students are expected to observe the University's instructions relating to conduct in undertaking examinations. Regulations relating to the conduct of examinations are specified in the *Regulations Relating to Academic Misconduct (Taught Components and Programmes)*.¹⁰

3.9 Extenuating Circumstances

The University recognises that there may be times when, due to adverse circumstances beyond their control, a student may be unable to meet an assessment deadline, or cannot complete assessments to the best of their ability, or are unable to attend an examination. In such circumstances the University operates *Extenuating Circumstances Regulations (Taught Components and Programmes)* to enable students to request that such circumstances are taken into consideration.

⁷ The *Policy, Procedures & Guidelines for Research Ethics* is available here:

<http://www.tees.ac.uk/docs/DocRepo/Research/ethics.pdf>

⁸ The *Regulations Relating to Academic Misconduct (Taught Components and Programmes)* are available here:

<https://unity3.tees.ac.uk/Departments/USEC/UniversityRegulations/University%20Regulations%20Documents/Forms/Academic%20Regulations.aspx>

There are three types of remedy that may be offered to a student who is experiencing difficulties outside her/his control:

- An Extension of the assessment deadline to a later date;
- A formal application for Mitigating Circumstances;
- Interruption of Studies until an agreed date.

Details of these processes are set out in the University's *Extenuating Circumstances Regulations*.⁹ Guidance for students is available on the University's website.

3.10 Penalties for the Late Submission of Work

Where coursework is submitted later than the original deadline without the prior approval of an extension or mitigation, or where coursework is submitted beyond an agreed extension, then the following tariffs apply:

- a) 1-7 calendar days late: work is capped at 50%.
- b) Beyond 7 calendar days late: work will receive a mark of 0%.

Where submission is in relation to the reassessment of previously failed coursework, any work submitted beyond the submission date without authorisation will receive a mark of 0%. In addition, an extension will only be given at reassessment in exceptional circumstances.

3.11 Release of Unratified Marks

A provisional mark for summative assessments will be communicated individually to the student as and when the mark has been internally moderated as part of the feedback process. This will be communicated at the earliest opportunity and not normally later than 20 working days after the date of submission. Definitive marks can only be agreed by Module Assessment Boards after external moderation has taken place. Definitive marks will be released to the student as part of the Notification of Assessment Results process (see Section 3.12).

3.12 Notification of Assessment Results

3.12.1 Results

3.12.1.1 The Student Information System (SITS) is the official repository of all student results.

⁹ The University's *Extenuating Circumstances Regulations* are available here:

<http://www.tees.ac.uk/docs/index.cfm?folder=student%20regulations&name=Academic%20Regulations>

3.12.1.2 On completion of a meeting of an Assessment Board, or following a decision made by Chair's Action, the Chair is responsible for ensuring that details of all ratified marks/grades and all decisions taken by the Board are entered into the Student Information System.

3.12.1.3 The University is responsible for ensuring that accurate results lists are available for use by Assessment Boards.

3.12.2 Communication of Results to Students

3.12.2.1 Students studying for University awards will be informed within two weeks of the commencement of their course of the date by which, and the manner in which, they will be notified of their ratified results/grades and the decision taken by the Assessment Board.

3.12.2.2 The mechanism of communicating results to students established by the process defined in Section 3.12.2.1 shall be considered to be the formal notification of those results and the date on which they are issued shall count as the date of publication.

3.12.2.3 The University will retain an auditable record of the formal communication to students of ratified results/grades and Assessment Board decisions, including any instructions to students regarding reassessment. The record will include both the content of the communication and its time/date.

3.12.2.4 The University will ensure students are informed that it is the responsibility of each student to ascertain their results by the means communicated to them.

3.12.2.5 The University shall, subject to 3.12.3, communicate information to students on ratified results concerning:

- i. Module marks or grades;
- ii. Progression to the next level of a course (if appropriate);
- iii. The award of a qualification;
- iv. Any compensation that has been awarded;
- v. The opportunity to undertake reassessment or deferred assessment, including details of relevant modules and assignments;
- vi. The offer to restudy individual modules or levels of a course;
- vii. Any other decisions taken by the Assessment Board;

3.12.2.6 Where a student has been awarded a qualification or withdrawn from their course of study, a transcript shall be issued by the University showing the marks or grades for all modules undertaken and any qualification that has been awarded.

Otherwise, a record of progress shall be issued annually by the University showing the marks or grades for all modules undertaken.

3.12.2.7 The transcript shall be the official record of a student's academic achievement and may take the form of a Diploma Supplement, or a Higher Education Achievement Record.

3.12.3 Withholding of Results

3.12.3.1 The decisions of Assessment Boards in relation to non-excluded students owing the University payment for tuition fees or academic charges may not be conveyed to students until confirmation is received from the Finance Office that the debt has been paid.

3.12.3.2 When an Assessment Board requires a non-excluded student debtor to re-sit an examination or undertake reassessment, the student will be informed in the normal way, but the outcome of such opportunities may not be conveyed to the student by the Assessment Board.

3.12.3.3 The University may withhold final results, certificates and transcripts, from students with debts relating to the academic experience even if they have made arrangements to pay their outstanding debt. Such students may not be invited to attend any Academic Awards Ceremony and their name may not appear in the Academic Awards Brochure.

3.12.3.4 The University may not grant to a student an award, a certificate of an award, or allow them to attend an Academic Awards Ceremony whilst a matter of alleged Misconduct is in the process of being considered under the University's Student Disciplinary Regulations.

3.13 Language of Instruction and Assessment

The primary language of instruction will be English. Unless otherwise approved by variance, all modules which contribute to an award of the University must be taught and assessed in English.

3.14 Intermediate Awards

If a student fails to satisfy the requirements for a primary target award, an intermediate award may be conferred if the credit requirements and associated learning outcomes for that award have been met. These requirements will be specified either at course approval or in the University's CAMS framework. At the discretion of the Progression and Award Board, higher-level credit can substitute for lower-level credit to meet the requirements for an intermediate award. Lower-level credit cannot be substituted for higher-level credit. Intermediate awards are not eligible for overall grading.

3.15 Maximum Period of Registration

The maximum period of registration on a course of study is the normal full-time registration period plus three years. This includes all periods of interruption when the student's registration is formally suspended. Some courses accredited by professional bodies may specify a shorter timescale. The standard maximum registration period for Taught Masters Awards is as follows:

Award	Normal Period of Registration		Maximum Period of Registration	
	Full-time	Part-time	Full-time	Part-time
Taught Masters Degree	1	2	4	5
Taught Masters with Work Based Learning [WBL]	2	3	5	6

3.15.1 Registration of Part-Time Students

Assessment Boards can withdraw part-time students if they have not formally interrupted their studies and have not fulfilled either of the following requirements:

- i. Enrolled or re-enrolled as required in the current academic year.
- ii. Studied the minimum number of credits, normally 30, required to continue on the course.

In such cases, the Assessment Board will confer an intermediate award on the basis of any credits and associated learning outcomes achieved at the University.

3.16 Withdrawal from a Course

A student can withdraw from their course of study, or, where it is clear that he/she is no longer attending or engaging with their studies, arrangements will be made for their withdrawal from the award and their enrolment with the University terminated.

A student must advise the University in writing if they wish to withdraw from their course of study. Students who withdraw from their course of study, or whose enrolment is terminated by the University, will be considered for an intermediate award at the next meeting of the course's Progression and Award Board.

3.17 Posthumous Awards

Any award of the University, as detailed in the Schedule of Awards described in the CAMS framework, may be conferred posthumously. The normal conditions of the award must be satisfied.

3.18 Aegrotat Awards

In instances where a student is unable to complete their course of study and he/she has not met the requirements for the intended award, an Aegrotat award may be conferred. The following conditions apply:

- i. Unforeseen exceptional medical or other sufficient circumstances prevent the candidate completing the award for which they are registered.
- ii. The Assessment Board is nevertheless satisfied that the candidate would have qualified for the award had it not been for such valid cause.
- iii. The candidate, or a person authorised to act on their behalf, has indicated that they are willing to accept the award prior to its recommendation to an Assessment Board.
- iv. The candidate, or a person authorised to act on their behalf, has agreed in writing that, in accepting the award they are waiving the right to be reassessed.
- v. If conferred, an Aegrotat is an exit award and, as such, is the conclusion of the student's course of study.
- vi. An Aegrotat award cannot be conferred to students on courses accredited by a PSRB which does not sanction this form of award.

When conferring an Aegrotat award, the decision of the Board must be based on the student's overall profile and evidence of some completed work at the level of the award being recommended.

Aegrotat awards are unclassified/not graded. They will be listed as one of the following:

- i. Aegrotat Postgraduate Certificate of Higher Education.
- ii. Aegrotat Postgraduate Diploma of Higher Education.
- iii. Aegrotat Masters Degree (ungraded).

Aegrotat awards can be awarded posthumously. In such cases, the requirement to secure agreement that, in accepting the award, the right to reassessment is waived in line with Section 3.18.iv does not apply.

A student who does not wish to accept an Aegrotat award may choose to be reassessed under the provisions of section 4.5. A candidate who subsequently fails such a reassessment is not then eligible to claim the Aegrotat award.

3.19 Revocation of Awards

The University reserves the right to revoke an award, and all privileges and rights associated with that award, where it is established that a student has obtained an

award by fraud or deception or where other circumstances come to light after the award is made which, if known at the time, would have meant the award would not have been made. The student concerned may appeal this decision through the procedures set out in the University's *Academic Appeal Regulations*.¹²

3.20 Right to Academic Appeal

Under certain conditions, a student has a right of appeal against assessment and/or examination decisions in accordance with the University's *Academic Appeal Regulations*.¹⁰

4. The Assessment of Students

4.1 The Purpose of Assessment

A key function of assessment is to promote high-level learning. Assessment is also the primary mechanism that enables students to demonstrate they have achieved the learning outcomes of the course on which they are registered and fulfilled the standards required of the award. Given its dual purpose, assessment is not merely the evidence that learning outcomes have been achieved but a crucial aspect of a high-quality student learning experience.

4.2 The Role of Academic Judgement

The outcomes of high-level learning are assessed by professional examiners who use their academic judgement to determine the level of achievement demonstrated in student assessment. These judgements are based on associated criteria and standards. The academic judgement of examiners cannot, in itself, be questioned or overturned. As such, a common understanding of the meaning of those criteria and standards – both academic and professional – is based on mutual trust and meaningful dialogue between staff and students.

Indeed, the assessment of student work is usually a matter of judgement, not merely of simple computation. Marks, grades and percentages are thus the means by which examiners communicate their judgement of a student's work and the different aspects of learning it demonstrates. Their purpose is to provide information for Assessment Boards who will make the final decision on a student's progress, achievement of learning outcomes, and the fulfilment and grading of the award.

¹⁰ Information about the University's *Academic Appeal Regulations* is available here: <http://www.tees.ac.uk/docs/index.cfm?folder=student%20regulations&name=Academic%20Regulations>

These regulations provide a framework within which professional academic judgement can be exercised in such a way that students are treated with equity, parity, and consistency across the University's Taught Masters level courses.

4.3 Assessment Boards

4.3.1 Impartiality of Assessment Boards

An effective staff-student relationship requires a culture of trust, respect, and professionalism. As part of this, the assessment of students will take place with due regard to the principles of transparency, independence, equity, and impartiality. Assessment Boards will ensure there is no conflict of interest during the assessment process that might compromise this culture and these principles.

Where a potential conflict of interest arises, the academic staff member(s) concerned will not have sole responsibility for assessing the work of any relevant student. In addition, a declaration of interest must be made to the relevant Assessment Board (or in advance to the Chair of the Board). Unless prior dispensation has been given by the Chair, the member of academic staff will leave the meeting of the Board when the individual case is being considered. This process will be recorded in the minutes.

If a member of staff (either at the University or approved partner) is a student on a module/course being considered by the Board, they will not be involved in the Board in any capacity.

In instances where Assessment Boards are asked to consider outcomes from other regulatory processes (e.g. academic misconduct, fitness to practise, and academic appeal), due regard will be given to the impartiality of the Chair and Members of the Board. If the Chair of the Board has had any previous formal involvement in a case to be considered, they will declare an interest and hand the Chair over to an impartial senior colleague during the discussion of the case. Similarly, if any Member of the Board has had formal involvement in a case to be considered, they will declare an interest and must not take part in the discussion and decision-making process. Such declarations and actions must be recorded in the minutes.

4.3.2 System of Assessment Boards

The University employs a two-tier system of Assessment Boards to determine the outcome of student assessment. This system is conducted sequentially through Module Assessment Boards and Progression and Award Boards. Each Assessment Board will make judgements on student performance within its own approved terms of reference. It will minute the basis on which decisions are made and record these in the Student Information System (SITS) using the approved codes. The Terms of Reference for University Assessment Boards are published on the University website.

4.4 Module Assessment

4.4.1 Module Pass

The overall pass mark for each module is 50%.

4.4.2 Module Pass with Multiple Components

Where the assessment strategy of a module is comprised of two or more components of assessment, a pass will be awarded where a student achieves at least 50% in the overall module mark. The student does not need to achieve a mark of 50% in each component.

4.4.3 Additional Pass Criteria

Additionally, a minimum level of achievement in any or all of the components of assessment for the module may be necessary to meet PSRB requirements, the expectations of accrediting bodies, or other course-specific regulations. Such requirements must be approved through the variance procedures described in Section 2.2, published in the relevant course and module specification, and be explained in the relevant Module and Student Handbooks.

4.4.4 Pass/Fail Modules

The minimum criteria to pass a module approved to use only pass/fail grades is the achievement of an overall pass grade. If a combination of pass/fail and percentage grades is used, then both minimum requirements must be met (i.e. a pass mark and a minimum aggregated mark of 50% in the other component(s)). Pass/fail module credits will contribute towards the award. In such cases, while a pass must be achieved, the module does not contribute to the grading of the award.

4.4.5 Passed Modules and Reassessment

A student who has passed a module at the first attempt either outright or by compensation may not be reassessed in the module in order to achieve a higher mark unless the student has been given dispensation to restudy the module under the provisions described in Section 4.6.

4.5 Module Reassessment

4.5.1 Limit to Reassessment

Students who fail any module at the first point of consideration will be provided with an opportunity to make good the failure through reassessment. In such cases, the student will be offered reassessment in the failed component(s) of the module. There is no limit to the number of modules eligible for reassessment. Students are normally only entitled to one reassessment attempt per module (see Section 4.5.5)

4.5.2 The Timing of Reassessment

Reassessment opportunities will normally take place on an inter-sessional basis after the Level has been undertaken in full. However, Assessment Boards may be scheduled to determine and offer in-session reassessment where, for course specific circumstances, it is deemed beneficial to student completion and achievement (see Section 4.3.2).

4.5.2.1 Timing of Reassessment for Part-time Students

The appropriate Assessment Board will consider the profile of each part-time student registered on a Teesside University Award on an annual basis. In instances where a part-time student has failed a module(s), in advance of achieving the criteria to be eligible for the intended award as described in Section 5, the Assessment Board will offer reassessment opportunities subject to 4.5.1. Such reassessment opportunities can, as appropriate, take place in-session or be offered on an inter-sessional or basis.

4.5.3 Module Mark for Reassessed Modules

When a student is reassessed in a module under 4.5.1, the marks obtained in the component(s) of assessment passed at the first point of consideration shall stand whereas the maximum mark that may be awarded for the reassessed component(s) is 50%. The mark for the module will be recalculated on the basis of the original marks for the component(s) passed at the first attempt and the marks gained in the reassessed components.

If the pass mark for the module has not been attained following reassessment, the mark that will stand is the higher of either the original module mark or the recalculated mark following the reassessment.

4.5.4 In-module Retake

On a module basis, and only if approved as a specific reassessment strategy at the time of approval¹¹, course teams may make arrangements for students to retake specific assessment tasks in-module before the result has been formally ratified by a Module Assessment Board. In-module Retakes are permitted only where:

- i. The assessment is not an examination;
- ii. The module is designated as non-compensatable;

¹¹ In-module retakes shall normally only be approved to meet defined requirements, or other such expectations, of PSRBs and/or where the module assesses specific aspects of competency that must be demonstrated (i.e. passed) before the student can study the work-based practice aspects of a professional course.

- iii. The assessment has been subjected to full internal moderation processes;¹²
- iv. Either the module pass mark has not been attained outright; or the module pass mark has been attained but the minimum required mark in specified assessment component(s) has not been achieved.
- v. The module/component(s) has been attempted.

When a student retakes an assessment under 4.5.4, the marks obtained in the component(s) of assessment passed at the first attempt shall stand whereas the maximum mark that may be awarded for the retaken component(s) is 50%. The mark for the module will be recalculated on the basis of the original marks for the component(s) passed at the first attempt and the marks gained in the retaken components.

If the pass mark for the module has not been attained following the In-module Retake, the mark that will stand is the higher of either the original module mark or the recalculated mark following the retake.

Students who fail to attain the module pass mark following an In-module Retake will be eligible for reassessment as detailed in 4.5 if permitted within the course regulations and the constraints of the award.

4.5.5 Exceptional Third Attempt

On a module basis, and only if approved as a specific reassessment strategy at the time of approval¹³, course teams may make arrangements for students to undertake a second reassessment opportunity (i.e. a third attempt). Exceptional Third Attempts should normally only be used where:

- i. The module is designated as non-compensatable;
- ii. Either the module pass mark has not been attained outright; or the module pass mark has been attained but specified assessment component(s) must meet a minimum level of achievement;
- iii. An In-module Retake, as described in Section 4.5.4., is not available as an approved reassessment strategy on the module;
- iv. There are no Fitness to Practise concerns (if applicable).

¹² The University's marking and moderation processes are defined in the *Assessment & Feedback Policy*.

¹³ Exceptional Third Attempts should normally only be approved to meet defined requirements, or other such expectations, of PSRBs and/or where the module assesses specific aspects of competency that must be demonstrated (i.e. passed) before the student can study the work based/work related practice aspects of a professional course.

- v. The module has been attempted at the first and/or second attempt.

When a student is reassessed for a second time in a module under 4.5.5, the marks obtained in the component(s) of assessment passed at the first attempt shall stand whereas the maximum mark that may be awarded for the reassessed component(s) is 50%. The mark for the module will be recalculated on the basis of the original marks for the component(s) passed at the first attempt and the marks gained in the reassessed components.

If the pass mark for the module has not been attained following reassessment, the mark that will stand is the higher of either the original module mark or the recalculated mark following the reassessment.

4.6 Module Failure after Reassessment

4.6.1 Restudying Failed Modules

4.6.1.1 Restudy Failed Modules Having Achieved $\geq 50\%$ Module Credits towards the Target Award

Following reassessment described in Section 4.5, students who do not meet the criteria to be eligible for the intended award as described in Section 5 but have achieved at least half of the module credits towards the target award will be offered one further attempt by re-studying the failed module(s) and repeating them as though for the first time. This will normally take place at the next available opportunity. The mark gained following restudy will be awarded in full and, if necessary, reassessment opportunities will be available as detailed in Section 4.5. Unless otherwise approved, module(s) up to the value of 30 credits will be eligible to be compensated in accordance with Section 5.8. Restudy of a module is only permitted on one occasion.

4.6.1.2 Restudy Failed Modules Having Achieved $< 50\%$ Module Credits Towards the Target Award

Following reassessment described in Section 4.5, students who do not meet the criteria to be eligible for the intended award as described in Section 5 and have achieved less than half of the credits towards the target award may, at the discretion of the Assessment Board, be offered one further attempt by re-studying the failed module(s) and repeating them as though for the first time. This will normally take place at the next available opportunity. The mark gained following restudy will be awarded in full and, if necessary, reassessment opportunities will be available as detailed in Section 4.5. Unless otherwise approved, module(s) up to the value of 30 credits will be eligible to be compensated in accordance with Section 5.8. Restudy of a module is only permitted on one occasion.

4.7 Substitution of Failed Modules

A student who is restudying a module may substitute it for a different module from the course if the following circumstances are met:

- i. Agreement of the appropriate Course Leader;
- ii. Such substitution is not precluded by course regulations or the constraints of the award;
- iii. The substitution is possible within timetabling and other resource limitations;

Where a student takes up this opportunity, the maximum outcome of assessment shall be in accordance with regulations set out in 4.6.1. Where a student has taken and passed a substitute module the mark will be awarded in full and, if necessary, a reassessment opportunity is available as detailed in Section 4.5. Unless otherwise approved, the module will be eligible to be compensated in accordance with Section 5.8. If appropriate, the mark gained can be used for the purposes of award grading.

5. Eligibility for Award

5.1 General Provisions

5.1.1 Profile for Award Eligibility

The regulations for Teesside University awards, their qualification level, standard credit requirements, progression, and available intermediate awards are set out in the 'Schedule of Awards' published in the *Credit Accumulation and Modular Scheme* (CAMS). Students are considered for a Teesside University award if they have satisfied the general credit requirements for the award defined in CAMS and/or have satisfied the specific outcomes of the course set out in the *Programme Specification*.

5.2 Eligibility for the Award of Masters Degree (MA/MSc)

In order to be deemed to be eligible for the award of Masters Degree, a student must have attained or exceeded the following:

- i. 180 Level 7 credits, comprised of at least 60 credits of advanced independent work in the form of a single major project or dissertation module.
- ii. Where a course requires a student to undertake additional credits as work-based learning, these additional credits must be achieved in order for the student to be deemed eligible for such an award.
- iii. 30 credits of compensation is permitted in accordance with Section 5.8.
- iv. If not precluded by course regulations and/or the requirements of the award, credit obtained at a higher-level can be substituted for credit at a lower-level to

meet the credit demands of the award. Lower-level credit cannot be substituted for higher-level credit.

Where this profile is achieved, a student may be eligible for the award of Masters Degree. If necessary, and if permitted by course regulations, this will involve confirming the compensation of 30 credits in accordance with Section 5.8.

Where a course requires a student to undertake additional credits such as work-based learning, but the student does not achieve those credits, subject to the achievement of 5.2 i. above, the student may be awarded the appropriate Masters degree without work-based learning subject to meeting the learning outcomes for that award.

5.3 Eligibility for the Award of Postgraduate Diploma (PgDip)

A Postgraduate Diploma can be either a defined course of study that students register for as a primary target award or an intermediate award conferred to students who fail to meet the requirements of their primary target award. In order to be eligible for the award of Postgraduate Diploma, a student must have attained or exceeded the following:

- i. 120 Level 7 credits
- ii. 30 credits of compensation is permitted in accordance with Section 5.8.
- iii. If not precluded by course regulations and/or the requirements of the award, credit obtained at a higher-level can be substituted for credit at a lower-level to meet the credit demands of the award. Lower-level credit cannot be substituted for higher-level credit.

Where this profile is achieved, a student may be eligible for the award of a Postgraduate Diploma. If necessary, and if permitted by course regulations, this will involve confirming the compensation of 30 credits.

5.4 Eligibility for the Award of Postgraduate Certificate (PgCert)

A Postgraduate Certificate can be either a defined course of study that students register for as a primary target award or an intermediate award conferred to students who fail to meet the requirements of their primary target award. In order to be eligible for the award of Postgraduate Certificate, a student must have attained or exceeded the following:

- i. 60 Level 7 credits.
- ii. Compensation is not permitted.

Where this profile is achieved, a student may be eligible for the award of a Postgraduate Certificate.

5.5 Eligibility for the Award of Postgraduate Certificate in Education (PgCE/PGCE)

A Postgraduate Certificate in Education can be either a defined course of study that students register for as a primary target award or an intermediate award conferred to students who fail to meet the requirements of their primary target award. In order to be eligible for the award of Postgraduate Certificate in Education, a student must have attained or exceeded the following:

- i. 60 Level 7 credits.
- ii. Compensation is not permitted.

Where this profile is achieved, a student may be eligible for the award of a Postgraduate Certificate in Education.

5.6 Eligibility for the Award of University Certificate in Postgraduate Professional Development (UCPPD)

A University Certificate in Postgraduate Professional Development can be either a defined course of study that students register for as a primary target award or an intermediate award conferred to students who fail to meet the requirements of their primary target award. In order to be eligible for the award of a University Certificate in Postgraduate Professional Development, a student must have attained or exceeded the following:

- i. 20 Level 7 credits.
- ii. Compensation is not permitted.

Where this profile is achieved, a student may be eligible for the award of a University Certificate in Postgraduate Professional Development.

5.7 Eligibility for the Award of University Certificate in Postgraduate Continuing Education (UCPCE)

A University Certificate in Postgraduate Continuing Education can be either a defined course of study that students register for as a primary target award or an intermediate award conferred to students who fail to meet the requirements of their primary target award. In order to be eligible for the award of a University Certificate in Postgraduate Continuing Education, a student must have attained or exceeded the following:

- i. 20 Level 7 credits.
- ii. Compensation is not permitted.

Where this profile is achieved, a student may be eligible for the award of a University Certificate in Postgraduate Continuing Education.

5.8 Compensation of Failed Module(s)

Compensation refers to the process of deliberately balancing a limited amount of underachievement in one aspect of a student's overall performance in the course against an otherwise positive level of performance across the course as a whole. Where a student is eligible for compensation, the Assessment Board will confirm that the failure has been compensated and that credit has been awarded for the module(s).

5.8.1 Application of Compensation

Compensation will automatically be awarded to any module(s) up to a maximum of 30 credits of any Level 7 taught course comprised of ≥ 120 credits subject to the following conditions:

- i. Compensation can only be applied at the point when the profile for award eligibility has been attained as defined in 5.2-5.3. For students studying on a part-time basis, it is exercised when all the modules comprising the course have been completed;
- ii. Compensation can only be awarded if an overall award average of at least 50% has been achieved across all qualifying modules;
- iii. Compensation is only permitted if the failed module(s) has been attempted at the first and/or second attempt;
- iv. Modules determined as non-compensatable at approval are ineligible for compensation;
- v. Modules determined as work-based learning at approval are ineligible for compensation.
- vi. Any module where academic misconduct has been proven will not be eligible for compensation.
- vii. Modules failed due to a breach in the *Regulations Relating to Fitness to Practise* are not eligible for compensation.

5.8.2 Marks for Compensated Modules

Where a module is compensated, the original mark shall be used for the purposes of calculating award averages and grades subject to Section 6. This shall be the mark that will be displayed on all communications notifying or documenting the student's achievement (e.g. record of progress, transcript, diploma supplement, etc.).

6. Grading of Awards

6.1 General Provisions

Only credit obtained through study on the named Teesside University target award can be used to calculate the overall grade. Additionally, where students have progressed from a previously completed postgraduate qualification, the credits obtained may contribute towards the subsequent award in line with the University's [Recognition of Prior Learning \(RPL\) Policy](#) (see Section 6.1.1), but the marks/grades cannot.

6.2 Grading of Masters Degrees (MA/MSc)

The Assessment Board will determine the overall grade of Masters Degrees using the following method:

- i. A mean average of the marks for all qualifying module credits is calculated and this determines the final grade of the award in accordance with the boundaries defined in 6.2.1.
- ii. Work-based learning module credits will be graded on a pass/fail basis only and will not contribute to the final grading of the award.

6.2.1 Grade Boundaries for Masters Degrees

Mean Average	Degree Grade
70%-100%	Distinction
60% - less than 70%	Merit
50% - less than 60%	Pass
less than 50%	Fail

6.3 Grading of Postgraduate Diplomas (PgDip)

A Postgraduate Diploma will only be graded where it is studied as a primary target award. In instances where a Postgraduate Diploma is conferred as an intermediate award it will be ungraded.

The Assessment Board will determine the overall grade of Postgraduate Diplomas using the following method:

- i. A mean average of the marks for all qualifying module credits is calculated and this determines the final grade in accordance with the boundaries defined in 6.3.1.

6.3.1 Grade Boundaries for Postgraduate Diplomas

Mean Average	Degree Grade
70%-100%	Distinction
60% - less than 70%	Merit
50% - less than 60%	Pass
less than 50%	Fail

6.4 Grading of Postgraduate Certificates (PgCert/PgCE/PGCE)

A Postgraduate Certificate will only be graded where it is studied as a primary target award. In instances where a Postgraduate Certificate is conferred as an intermediate award it will be ungraded.

The Assessment Board will determine the overall grade of Postgraduate Certificates on the basis of the following method:

- i. A mean average of the marks for all qualifying module credits is calculated and this determines the final grade in accordance with the boundaries defined in 6.4.1.

6.4.1 Grade Boundaries Postgraduate Certificates

Mean Average	Degree Grade
70%-100%	Distinction
60% - less than 70%	Merit
50% - less than 60%	Pass
less than 50%	Fail

6.5 Grading of University Certificates in Postgraduate Professional Development (UCPPD)

The University's Certificate in Postgraduate Professional Development is awarded without grade.

6.6 Grading of University Certificates in Postgraduate Continuing Education (UCPCE)

The University's Certificate in Postgraduate Continuing Education is awarded without grade.